

Decision Maker: Renewal & Recreation Portfolio Holder

For Pre-decision Scrutiny by the Renewal & Recreation PDS Committee

Date: 18 September 2013

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Executive Non-Key

Title: BUDGET MONITORING 2013/14

Contact Officer: Claire Martin, Head of Finance
Tel: 020 8313 4286 E-mail: claire.martin@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Marc Hume, Director of Regeneration and Transformation
Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment and Community Services

Ward: Boroughwide

1. Reason for report

This report provides an update of the latest budget monitoring position for 2013/14 for the Renewal and Recreation Portfolio based on expenditure and activity levels up to 31st July 2013. This shows a projected underspend of £35k for the total portfolio budget.

It also reports the level of expenditure and progress with the implementation of the selected projects within the Member Priority Initiatives.

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

2.1 The Portfolio Holder is requested to endorse the latest 2013/14 budget projection for the Renewal & Recreation Portfolio.

2.2 Note the progress of the implementation of the Renewal and recreation projects within the Member Priority Initiatives.

2.3 The Portfolio Holder is requested to approve the drawdown of the carry forward sum of £60k held in the central contingency, to be used to fund the preparation of the Borough's Local Plan.

Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing policy. Sound financial management
 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council.
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Estimated cost N/A
 2. Ongoing costs: Non-recurring cost.
 3. Budget head/performance centre: Renewal & Recreation Portfolio Budgets
 4. Total current budget for this head: £12.9m
 5. Source of funding: Existing revenue budgets 2013/14
-

Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 229ftes
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Statutory requirement. The statutory duties relating to financial reporting are covered within the Local Government Act 1972; the Local Government Finance Act 1998; the Accounts and Audit Regulations 1996; the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government Act 2002
 2. Call-in: Call-in is applicable
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): The services covered in this report affect all Council Taxpayers, Business Ratepayers, those who owe general income to the Council, all staff, Members and Pensioners.
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? N/A.
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 The 2013/14 projected outturn is detailed in Appendix 1, with a forecast of projected spend for each division compared to the latest approved budget and identifies in full the reason for any variances.
- 3.2 Costs attributable to individual services have been classified as “controllable” and “non-controllable” in Appendix 1. Budget holders have full responsibility for those budgets classified as “controllable” as any variations relate to those factors over which the budget holder has, in general, direct control. “Non-controllable” budgets are those which are managed outside of individual budget holder’s service and, as such, cannot be directly influenced by the budget holder in the shorter term. These include, for example, building maintenance costs and property rents which are managed by the Property Division but are allocated within individual departmental/portfolio budgets to reflect the full cost of the service. As such, any variations arising are shown as “non-controllable” within services but “controllable” within the Resources Portfolio. Other examples include cross departmental recharges and capital financing costs. This approach, which is reflected in financial monitoring reports to budget holders, should ensure clearer accountability by identifying variations within the service that controls financial performance. Members should specifically refer to the “controllable” budget variations relating to portfolios in considering financial performance. These variations will include the costs related to the recession.
- 3.3 Council on 26th March 2012 approved the setting aside of £2.260m in an earmarked reserve for Member priority initiatives. The Renewal and Recreation Portfolio is responsible for the delivery of three initiatives as detailed below: -

Member priority Initiatives	£'000
Investing in small shopping parades	250
Becekenham Town Centre public realm improvements	250
Support tackling youth unemployment amongst young people	500
	<u>1,000</u>

- 3.4 Appendix 2 has details of the progress of each of the schemes.
- 3.5 Approval is sought to release the carry forward sum of £60k, which is currently held in the central contingency for the preparation of the Local Plan. This sum is required to meet the cost of the examination of the plan in public and any associated evidence work which is due to take place in 2014. The precise timing of the examination is determined by the Planning Inspectorate and is therefore outside of the Council’s control. It is therefore very likely that part or all of this sum may be required to be carried forward to 2014/15.

4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The Resources Portfolio Plan for 2013/14 includes the aim of effective monitoring and control of expenditure within budget and includes the target that each service department will spend within its own budget.
- 4.2 Bromley’s Best Value Performance Plan “Making a Difference” refers to the Council’s intention to remain amongst the lowest Council Tax levels in outer London and the importance of greater focus on priorities.
- 4.3 The four year financial forecast report highlights the financial pressures facing the Council. It remains imperative that strict budgetary control continues to be exercised in 2013/14 to minimise the risk of compounding financial pressures in future years.

4.4 Chief Officers and Departmental Heads of Finance are continuing to place emphasis on the need for strict compliance with the Council's budgetary control and monitoring arrangements.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The controllable Portfolio budget shows a projected underspend of £35k based on financial activity to 31st July 2013. Some of the major variations are summarised below with more detailed explanations included in Appendix 1.

5.2 Reduced activity in building control is continuing due to the on-going effect of the recession. Income is expected to be £40k below budget and is being offset by £40k savings as a result of reduced hours worked and part vacant posts.

5.3 Income for planning applications is above budget and a surplus of £100k is projected. This is mainly due to a large number of applications that require a higher fee.

5.4 Delays in the installation of the new library management system and extra costs incurred for the transfer of data to the new system has resulted in a projected overspend of £65k.

5.5 Appendix 2 shows that £390k has been spent or committed out of the £1m set aside for the three projects within the Member priority initiatives. It also has comments on the progress of each of the schemes.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Legal, Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	2013/14 budget monitoring files within ES/R & R finance section